10

LUC-446 / Bostrom 6-7-12

Remarks

Reconsideration of the application and allowance of all claims pending are respectfully requested. No amendments are being made because the entire application is believed to be in condition for allowance and/or proper form for appeal. No new matter has been added. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 11, 14-15, and 17-20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being anticipated by Bravo et al. (U.S. Patent Appl. Pub. No. 2002/0177433; "Bravo") in view of Hymel et al. (U.S. Patent Appl. Pub. No. 2004/0180648; "Hymel"). Claims 4 and 16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Bravo and Hymel in view of Klensin et al. (U.S. Patent Appl. Pub. No. 2003/0191971; "Klensin"). Claims 8-9 and 13 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Bravo and Hymel in view of Barak et al. (U.S. Patent Appl. Pub. No. 2002/0126821; "Barak"). Claims 10 and 12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Bravo and Hymel in view of Barnes (U.S. Patent Appl. Pub. No. 2005/0136949). These rejections are respectfully, but most strenuously, traversed.

Applicants respectfully submit that the Office Action's citations to the applied references, with or without modification or combination, assuming, arguendo, that the modification or combination of the Office Action's citations to the applied references is proper, do not teach or suggest the communication session restrictions on incoming communications terminated to the mobile communication device, as recited in applicants' independent claim 1.

For explanatory purposes, applicants discuss herein one or more differences between the claimed invention and the Office Action's citations to Bravo, Hymel, Klensin, Barak, and

Barnes. This discussion, however, is in no way meant to acquiesce in any characterization that one or more parts of the Office Action's citations to Bravo, Hymel, Klensin, Barak, and Barnes correspond to the claimed invention.

Bravo, Hymel, Klensin, Barak and Barnes fail to disclose the above limitation, as described in the previous responses. The Office Action suggests (page 3, lines 9-12) that Bravo discloses this limitation in the "deny access step 595" of FIG. 5 of Bravo. However, the Office Action is using an incorrect reading of "terminate" as "to deny." One skilled in the art would read "terminate to" as an indication of an endpoint for a connection. As is known in the art, a call can be either originated by the mobile (i.e., the user of the mobile is the calling party) or also terminated to the mobile (i.e., the user of the mobile is the called party). Examples of this use of "terminated to" can be found in U.S. Patent 4,775,999, U.S. Patent 5,623,535, and U.S. Patent 7,383,042, as well as other issued patents.

The Office Action's citations to Bravo, Hymel, Klensin, Barak, and Barnes all fail to meet at least one of applicants' claimed features. For example, there is no teaching or suggestion in the Office Action's citations to Bravo, Hymel, Klensin, Barak, and Barnes of the communication session restrictions on incoming communications terminated to the mobile communication device, as recited in applicants' independent claim 1.

For all the reasons presented above with reference to claim 1, claims 1, 14, and 20 are believed neither anticipated nor obvious over the art of record. The corresponding dependent claims are believed allowable for the same reasons as independent claims 1, 14, and 20, as well as for their own additional characterizations.

Withdrawal of the § 103 rejections is therefore respectfully requested.

12

LUC-446 / Bostrom 6-7-12

In view of the above amendments and remarks, allowance of all claims pending is respectfully requested. If a telephone conference would be of assistance in advancing the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call applicants' attorney or agent.

Respectfully submitted,

Broth A Valer

Bradley H. Valenzo Agent for Applicants Reg. No. 64,873

Dated: May 3, 2010

Carmen Patti Law Group, LLC

Phone: (312) 346-2800 Fax: (312) 346-2810

Customer Number 47382